



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,702	12/17/2003	Thomas Grafenauer	03100137U.S	5695
7055	7590	05/07/2008		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191		EXAMINER CAJILIG, CHRISTINE T		
		ART UNIT 3633		PAPER NUMBER
		NOTIFICATION DATE 05/07/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/736,702	GRAFENAUER, THOMAS
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	CHRISTINE T. CAJILIG	3633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTINE T. CAJILIG.

(3) ANDREW CALDERON.

(2) BRIAN GLESSNER.

(4) THORSTEN REHMANN AND HALEIL TER.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.8 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eisermann, Palsson et al., Pervan et al., Olofsson et al., Schneider, Hall.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives discussed some of the distinguishing factors of the building board such as the planar beveled surface on the tongue that transitions into a recess adjacent the tongue as well as the material properties that OSB, which composes the board, provides. To further distinguish the present claims over the prior art of record, amendments incorporating OSB into claims 8 and 20 will be filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. T. C./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3633  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.